

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	L TTODAY TO GUETANO		
10/000 0==		THOT WANTED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/809,357	03/26/2004	Chang-Pin Chou	CHOU3097/EM	7579	
23364	7590 12/15/2004		EXAMINER		
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE			JENKINS, I	JENKINS, DANIEL J	
FOURTH FL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDR	A, VA 22314		1742		

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comme	10/809,357	CHOU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel J. Jenkins	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may by within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely DNTHS from the mailing date of this co	r. mmunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 N</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal ma	itters, prosecution as to the D. 11, 453 O.G. 213	merits is
Disposition of Claims		,	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 4</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original of of	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya on is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	R 1.121(d). D-152.
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in A ty documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National St	tage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/26/04.	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-19	52)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Acti	on Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date	20041213

Application/Control Number: 10/809,357

Art Unit: 1742

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Helton et al.

Helton et al. discloses at col. 2, lines 45-66, a flux comprising:

manganese dioxide (manganese peroxide); and

about 15% silicon dioxide.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 10/809,357

Art Unit: 1742

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Helton et al. in view of Paskell.

Helton et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed (see paragraph 2 above). However, Helton et al. is silent as to numerical limitations on particle size of the flux components, but broadly states that "finely divided" ingredients are used (see col. 3, lines 4-5).

Paskell et al. teaches to use flux ingredients in the same field of endeavor of a mesh of - 325 for the purpose of providing a homogeneous mixture of flux ingredients.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use flux ingredients of -325 mesh as taught by Paskell in the invention of Helton et al. in order to form a flux of a homogeneous composition.

6. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The Examiner finds that the prior art does not teach or suggest the amount of manganese dioxide of over 70%.

Art Unit: 1742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Jenkins whose telephone number is 571-272-1242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1242. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel J. Jenkins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742